DEC 0 2 2004



Pabst Patent Group LLP 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200 1201 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30361

Telephone (404) 879-2150 Telefax (404) 879-2160

information@pabstpatent.com www.pabstpatent.com

TELEFAX

Date:

December 2, 2004

Total pages: 35

(incl. cover sheet)

To:

US PTO

Telephone:

Telefax: 703-872-9306

From: Patrea L. Pabst

Telephone: 404-879-2151

Telefax: 404-879-2160

Our Docket No. CMCC 779

Your Docket No.

Client/Matter No. 078856-00047

Please call (404) 879-2150 if you did not receive all of the pages, or if they are illegible.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This faceimile, along with any documents, files, or attachments, may contain information that is confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any information contained in or attached to this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or by telephone collect at the numbers stated above, and destroy the original facsimile and its attachments without reading, printing, or saving in any manner. Your cooperation is appreciated. Thank you.

MESSAGE:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellants:

Samy Ashkar and Jairo Salcedo

Serial No.:

09/981,845

Art Unit:

1647

Filed:

October 18, 2001

Examiner:

Regina M. Deberry

OSTEOPONTIN-COATED SURFACES AND METHODS OF USE

(45048193.1)

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 2388 P. 2

DEC 0 2 2004

	enwork Reduction Act of 1995, no pers	U.S. Paten	and Tracemark Office; U.S. E n of information unless it displa 09/ 981,845	PTC/S9/21 (08-03) gh 07/31/2006. QMB 0631-0031 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ava a valid QMB comirol number.				
FORM		First Named Inventor	October 18, 2001					
		Art Unit	Samy Ashkear					
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			1647					
		Examiner Name	Regina M. Deberry					
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	CMCC 779					
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Aftidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abrandonment Request		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addra Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)	to Group Appeal Co of Appeal Appeal Co (Appeal Not Proprietary Status Latt V Identify bel	osure(s) (piease				
	SIGNATURE	OF APPLICANT, ATTORNI	EY, OR AGENT					
Fiπn or Individual name	Rivka D. Monheit, Esq., Reg 400 Colony Square, Suite 12	. No. 48,731 Pabst Patent Grow 20, Atlanta, GA 30361	np LLP					
Signature	Kewhen D Mo	aheit						
Date	December 2, 2004	W. M. W.						
		ICATE OF TRANSMISSION	/MAII ING					
I nereby certify that sufficient postage the data shown be	at this correspondence is being fac as first class mail in an envelope	simile transmitted to the USPTO or addressed to: Commissioner for Pat	deposited with the United	States Postal Service with andria, VA 22313-1450 on				
Typed or printed n	Roma Bermar	100						
Signature	King	1 Buran	Date	December 2, 2004				
process) an applicati- gathering, preparing, emount of time you n Trademark Office. U.	on. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U , and submitting the completed applicat equire to complete this form and/or au .S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Bo	s information is required to obtain or retains. S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection from the USPTO. Time will valve dept dependent of the USPTO. Time will valve dept dept dept. VA 2237-1450. DO Co. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 2237-1450. DO Co. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 2237-1450.	ion is estimated to 12 minutes ipending upon the individual ca i be sent to the Chief informati NOT SEND FEES OR COMPI	to complete, including ase. Any comments on the on Officer, U.S. Patent and				

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

CMCC 779 / 078856-00047

PTC/SB/17 (10-04) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a confection of information prices it displays a visit OMS control mymber.								
	D 1-4- 12 14							
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Application Number		09/981,845					
for EV 2005	Filing Date		October 18, 2001					
for FY 2005	First Named Inventor		Samy Ashkar					
Effective 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision,	Examiner Name		Regina M. Deberry					
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Art Unit		1647					
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 0.00	Attorney Docket No.		CMCC 779					
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
	3. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Entity (Small Entity							
Deposit Account:	Fee Fee	Fee Fee	Fee Description					
Account 50-3129	Code (\$) 1051 130	Code (\$) 2051 65 Sund	•	Fee Paid				
Danast	1052 50	1	narge - late filing fee or oath narge - late provisional filing fee or	 				
Account Padst Patent Group LLP		cover	sheet	}——				
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)		,						
Charge ree(s) indicated delow [V] Credit any overpayments	1812 2,520 1804	,	ing a request for <i>ex parte</i> reexamination esting publication of SIR prior to					
T Charge any additional ree(s) or any uncerpayment or ree(s)	1004 620	Exam	iner action					
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.	1809 1,840-	1805 1,840" Requ	esting publication of SIR after					
	1251 110		usion for reply within first month					
	1252 430		naion for reply within second month					
Large Entity Small Entity	1258 98D	2253 490 Exter	asion for reply within third month					
	1254 1,530	2254 765 Exter	nsion for reply within fourth month					
	1255 2,080	2255 1,040 Exter	nston for reply within fifth month	\vdash				
1002 350 2002 175 Design filing fee 1	1401 340	2401 170 Notic	e of Appeal					
1003 550 2003 275 Plant filing fee 1	1402 340	2402 170 Filing	a brief in support of an appeal	0.00				
100, 100 200, 000 1,0,0	1403 300		est for oral hearing					
1000 100 2000 00 1100000000000000000000	1451 1,510		on to institute a public use proceeding	\vdash				
SOBIOTAL(1) (\$)	1452 110		on to revive - unavoidable	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$				
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE	1453 1,330		on to revive - unintentional	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$				
Fee from	1601 1,370 1502 490		r issue fee (or reissue) In issue fee	\vdash				
Total Claims 6 -20 = 0 X	1503 680	2503 330 Plant		\vdash				
Independent 1 -3** a // X = 1	1460 130		ons to the Commissioner					
Multiple Dependent	1807 50	1807 50 Proc	essing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)					
Large Entity Small Entity	808 180	1805 180 Subm	ission of Information Disclosure Stmt					
Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	3021 40	8021 40 Reco	rding each patent assignment per					
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20	809 790	prope	rty (times number of properties) a submission after final rejection	\vdash				
1201 88 2201 44 Independent claims in excess of 3		(37 Č	FR 1.129(a))					
	810 780		ach additional invention to be ined (37 CFR 1,129(b))	L :				
1204 88 2204 44 Relssue independent claims over original patent	1801 790		uest for Continued Examination (RCE)					
1205 18 2205 9 Reissue claims in excess of 20 1 and over original patent	1802 900	1802 900 Reg	uest for expedited examination					
	of a design application Other fee (specify)							
	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0.00							
SUBMITTED BY			(Complete (if applicable))	5.00				

Name (Print/Type) Rivka D. Monheit Registration No. 48,731 Telephone (404) 879-2152
Signature Rivia D. Monueit Date December 2, 2004
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not

be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to fite (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Christ Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

NO. 2388 P. 4

DEC 0 2 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellants:

Samy Ashkar and Jairo Salcedo

Serial No.:

09/981,845

Art Unit:

1647

Filed:

October 18, 2001

Examiner:

Regina M. Deberry

For:

OSTEOPONTIN-COATED SURFACES AND METHODS OF USE

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

Responsive to the Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.192(c) mailed on November 18, 2004, this is a substitute Appeal Brief to replace the Appeal Brief filed on August 16, 2004. This is an Appeal from the final rejection of claims 1-6 in the Office Action mailed February 13, 2004, in the above-identified patent application. A Notice of Appeal was mailed on June 14, 2004 (there is an error in the Advisory Action mailed June 28, 2004). In the Appeal Brief filed on August 16, 2004, the Commissioner was authorized to charge \$165.00, the fee for the filing of this Appeal Brief for a small entity, to Deposit Account No. 50-3129. It is believed that no additional fee is required with this submission. However, should an additional fee be required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 50-3129.

45049567vl

1

CMCC 779 78856/00047

(1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest of this application is Children's Medical Center Corporation in Boston, MA, the assignee of record; and the licensee of record OraPharma, Inc. in Warminster, PA.

(2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences known to appellant, the undersigned, or appellant's assignee which directly affects, which would be directly affected by, or which would have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

(3) STATUS OF CLAIMS ON APPEAL

Claims 1-6 are pending. Claims 1-6 are on appeal. Claims 7-18 were cancelled in an Amendment filed on November 21, 2003. The text of each claim on appeal, as pending, is set forth in an Appendix to this Appeal Brief.

(4) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

An amendment after final rejection was mailed on May 11, 2004. In the Advisory Action mailed June 28, 2004, the Examiner indicated that this amendment would be entered. An appendix sets forth the claims on appeal.

(5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The claims are drawn to isolated active osteopontin fragments and osteopontin-derived peptide fragments that have cell-attachment and cell-spread activity (page 7, line 23 to page 8, line 12). The peptide fragments may be used to increase cell attachment to a material, as well as enhance cell spread on the material (page 11, lines 9-18). The material is suitable for use on a 45049567vl 2 CMCC 779
07885690047

DEC. 2.2004 3:15PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO.2388 P. 6

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845 Filed: October 18, 2001

SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF

material which is implanted into a patient to enhance cell-attachment and cell-spread activity and thereby integration of the implant, for example, for use in treatment of periodontal disease (page 10, lines 16-23). Claim 1 is directed to an osteopontin-derived peptide fragment comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:7, SEQ ID NO:8, SEQ ID NO:9. SEO ID NO:10, SEO ID NO:11, SEQ ID NO:12, SEQ ID NO:13, SEQ ID NO:14, and SEO ID NO:15 (page 8, lines 7-26 and page 12, lines 4-13). Claim 2 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 1, wherein the peptide increases cell attachment to a material and increases cell spread (page 8, lines 11-12 and page 53, lines 12-17). Claim 3 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 2, wherein the peptide binds to at least one receptor on a cell surface. Claim 4 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 3, wherein the receptor(s) is an integrin. Claim 5 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 4, wherein the integrin(s) is $\alpha_V \beta_3$, $\alpha_V \beta_5$, $4\beta_1$, $2\beta_1$, VCAM, ICAM CD44, or V₃V_x. Support for claims 3, 4, and 5 can be found on page 3, line 27 to page 4, line 14 and page 53, lines 17-21. Claim 6 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 3 wherein the cell is an osteoprogenitor cell, tumor cell, macrophage, periosteal cell, endothelial cell, epithelial cell, eosinophil, stem cell, limited potential precursor cell, precursor cells committed precursor cell, or differentiated cell (page 8, line 29 to page 9, line 2).

(6) ISSUES ON APPEAL

The issues presented on appeal are:

(1) whether claims 1-6 are enabled under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

45049567v1 3

CMCC 779 078856/00047

(7) GROUPING OF CLAIMS

The claims do not stand or fall together. Arguments for the separate patentability of the claims are provided below.

(8) ARGUMENTS

(a) The Claimed Invention

The claims are directed to active osteopontin-derived peptide fragments and their use in and/or on materials to increase cell attachment and cell spread activity. The peptides may be used to coat, for example, a surgical implant where cell attachment and growth on the implant are desirable. The peptide fragments comprise the sequences

VFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGLRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO. 7),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGLRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:8),

SDELVTDFPTDLPATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGLRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:9),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:10),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRPAGAAGGPAGPAG

PAGPAGPAGPA (SEQ ID NO:11), RSRRVFTPFIPTESANDGRGDSVAYGLKSKSKKFRR

(SEQ ID NO:12), DTFTPIVPTVDVPNGRFDSLAYGLKSKSKKFRP (SEQ ID NO:13),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRADSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:14), and acetyl
RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:15).

The osteopontin-derived peptide fragments increase cell binding and spread by binding to integrins, such as $\alpha_v \beta_3$, $\alpha_v \beta_5$, $4\beta_1$, $2\beta_1$, VCAM, ICAM CD44, $V_3 V_x$, on the surface of cells.

The peptide fragments may be used to modulate a number of different cell types, including

45049567v1

4

CMCC 779
07885600047

DEC. 2.2004 3:16PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO.2388 P. 8

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845

ed: October 18, 2001

SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF

osteoprogenitor cells, tumor cells, macrophages, periosteal cells, endothelial cells, epithelial cells, eosinophils, stem cells, limited potential precursor cells, precursor cells, committed precursor cells, and differentiated cells.

The peptides have numerous applications, but principally in tissue repair or regeneration, for example, when coated onto a titanium material and used in the treatment of periodontal disease to enhance bone regrowth.

(b) Rejection of claims 1-6 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

The Legal Standard

The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) has described the legal standard for enablement under § 112, first paragraph, as whether one skilled in the art could make and use the claimed invention from the disclosures in the patent coupled with information known in the art as of the date of filing, without undue experimentation (See, e.g., Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussell 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003; Genentech, Inc. v. Novo Nordisk A/S, 108 F3d at 165, 42 USPQ2d at 1004 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (quoting In re Wright, 999 F.2d 1557, 1561, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993); See also In re Fisher, 427 F.2d at 839, 166 USPQ at 24; United States v. Telectronics, Inc., 857 F.2d 778 (Fed. Cir. 1988); In re Stephens, 529 F.2d 1343 (CCPA 1976)). The fact that experimentation may be complex does not necessarily make it undue, if the art typically engages in such experimentation (M.I.T. v. A.B. Fortia, 774 F.2d 1104 (Fed. Cir. 1985)). As affirmed by the Court in Spectra-Physics, Inc. v. Coherent, Inc., 827 F.2d 1524 (Fed. Cir. 1987), a patent need not teach, and preferably omits, what is well known in the art.

45049567v1

5

CMCC 779 078856/00047

Whether the disclosure is enabling is a legal conclusion based upon several underlying factual inquiries. See *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 735, 736-737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1402, 1404 (Fed. Cir.1988). As set forth in *Wands*, the factors to be considered in determining whether a claimed invention is enabled throughout its scope without undue experimentation include the quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and the breadth of the claims. In cases that involve unpredictable factors, "the scope of the enablement obviously varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved." *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). The fact that some experimentation is necessary does not preclude enablement; what is required is that the amount of experimentation 'must not be unduly extensive.' *Atlas Powder Co.*, v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., 750 F.2d 1569, 1576, 224 USPQ 409, 413 (Fed. Cir.1984). There is no requirement for examples.

Analysis

A proper analysis of the *Wands* factors shows that claims 1-6 satisfy the enablement requirement. The quantity of experimentation necessary to make and use the claimed peptides is **not undue**. The claims are directed to ostepontin-derived peptide fragements comprising SEQ ID NO:7, SEQ ID NO:8, SEQ ID NO:9, SEQ ID NO:10, SEQ ID NO:11, SEQ ID NO:12, SEQ ID NO:13, SEQ ID NO:14, or SEQ ID NO:15. These sequences are well described and characterized. The amino acid sequence and structure of osteopontin, from which the peptide

45049567v1

6

CMCC 779
07885600047

U.S.S.N. 09/981.845 Filed:

October 18, 2001 SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF

fragments are derived, are well known. One skilled in the art would have no difficulty making short peptides or longer peptides, synthetically, using a portion of the nucleotide sequence encoding osteopontin, as recited in claim 1. The point of novelty is the identification of the amino acid sequence in a very large protein which has the desired activity, and that this activity is retained even in a very small peptide relative to the huge protein from which it is derived. The specification describes how to coat the peptides to a material (page 13, line 14 to page 14, line 21) and describes the types of materials that may be coated (page 10, lines 16-23 and page 14, lines 22-28).

The specification also describes a number of cell types that may be regulated using the osteopontin-derived peptides fragments (page 8, line 29 to page 9, line 2). Although there is no requirement for examples, Example 12 and Table 8 on pages 53-55 demonstrate that each of SEO ID NO:15, SEQ ID NO:9, SEQ ID NO:10, SEQ ID NO:11, SEQ ID NO:12, SEQ ID NO:13, or SEQ ID NO:14 binds to osteoprogenitor cells and significantly increases cellular attachment and spread over the control. In addition, the specification teaches that the peptides bind receptors on the surface of cells (page 3, line 27 to page 4, line 14), and Example 12 and Table 8 illustrate that the peptides interact with integrins, as shown by the ability of anti-integrin antibodies to inhibit the percentage of attached cells and cell spread induced by the peptides (i.e., SEQ ID NO: 15).

Integrins are the principal receptors on animal cells for binding most extracellular matrix proteins, including collagen, fibronectin, and laminin. They are found on the surface of numerous cell types (see, for example, Molecular Biology of the Cell. IV. Cells in Their Social **CMCC 779** 45049567v1 7 078856/00047

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845 Filed: October 18, 2001

SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF

Context. 19. Cell Junctions, Cell Adhesion, and the Extracellular Matrix, Garland Publishing (1994)). Although the specification uses osteoprogenitor cells as an example, one of ordinary skill in the art would know that the claimed osteopontin-derived peptide fragments would interact with integrins found on diverse cell types. Osteopontin, itself, interacts with a number of different cell types (page 2, lines 23-25).

Therefore, it is clear that claim 2, which recites that the peptide increases cell attachment to a material and increases cell spread is enabled. Because of the ubiquitous expression of integrins in cells, the amount of experimentation necessary to use the osteopontin-derived fragments to increase the attachment and spread of other cell types, such as those recited in claim 6, is not undue. In addition, claim 3, which recites that the peptides bind to at least one receptor on a cell surface, and claim 4, which specifies that the receptor is an integrin, are clearly enabled by the specification and examples.

Furthermore, the guidance in the specification and ease in carrying out the assays, as shown in the examples, clearly enables one to culture plates with any type of cell expressing different receptor/integrin molecules, and assay for cell attachment and/or cell spread in the presence or absence of the claimed peptides. One of ordinary skill in the art is also enabled to identify other peptides exhibiting the claimed activities. As demonstrated in Example 12, plates can be coated with any of the osteopontin-derived peptide fragments and cultured with cells. The percent increase in cell attachment and cell spread are readily measured by methods commonly used in the art. One then may add antibodies to different integrins, such as those recited in claim 5 ($\alpha_V \beta_3$, $\alpha_V \beta_5$, $4\beta_1$, $2\beta_1$, VCAM, ICAM CD44, $V_3 V_X$), to see if osteoponin-

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845 Filed: October 18, 2001

SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF

peptide-induced cell attachment and spread is attenuated and to determine which of the integrins are important for the effects of the osteopontin-derived peptide fragments in a particular cell type. Anti-integrin antibodies may be produced or obtained from many commercial suppliers or laboratories.

The Examiner alleges that the data demonstrating the binding of SEQ ID NO: 15 to $\alpha_v \beta_3$ in Table 8 cannot be extrapolated to the elected species, SEQ ID NO: 11, or any other osteopontin derived peptide binding any integrin on any cell type, because SEQ ID NO: 15 was still able to cause human osteoprogenitor cells to attach and spread in the presence of antibodies against CD44 and $\alpha\beta_1$. However, just because the antibodies against CD44 and $\alpha\beta_1$ failed to inhibit cell attachment and spreading does not mean that the peptide does not bind to these particular receptors. It most likely means that CD44 and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ are either weakly expressed or not expressed by osteoprogenitor cells and/or peptide-induced cell migration and cell spread in osteoprogenitor cells preferentially occurs through a specific integrin or integrins (i.e., $\alpha_v\beta_3$) other than CD44 and $\alpha\beta_1$. See, for example, Noonan KJ et al. J. Orthop Res. 14(4): 573-81 (1996) (abstract attached), which describes that reduced expression of CD44 was observed in osteoprogenitor cells compared to other bone-related cell types.

In addition, other integrins besides $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ may modulate cell attachment and cell spread activity in different cell types. See, for example, Tuck et al. J. Cell Biochem 78(3): 465-475 (2000) (attached), which describes the osteopontin-induced migration of several mammary epithelial cell lines. The study demonstrates that the spread of one of the cell lines was $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ and β_{1} -integrin dependent, but $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ -independent, while that of another cell line was $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ -dependent. $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ -independent, $\alpha_{\nu}\beta$

DEC. 2, 2004 3:17PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO. 2388 P. 13

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845

Filed: October 18, 2001

SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF

Therefore, even though it is well known that osteopontin binds to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Hu et al. J. Biol. Chem. 270 (44): 26232-26238 (1995) (attached)), antibodies to this integrin would not block the osteopontin-induced migration of the first cell line. Likewise, it appears that osteopontin-derived peptide fragment-induced attachment and spread of osteoprogenitor cells is mediated through $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and not CD44, even though the peptide fragments may bind to CD44. There is no legal requirement, however, that the claimed peptides bind all integrins or to all cell types for the peptides to have the specified utility.

(9) SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The test for undue experimentation is not merely quantitative, since a considerable amount of experimentation is permissible, if it is merely routine, or if the specification in question provides a reasonable amount of guidance with respect to the direction in which the experimentation should proceed. *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir.1988). It is clear from the direction or guidance given by the specification, the presence of working examples, the state of the prior art and the relative skill of those in the art, that one of ordinary skill in the art could make and use the claimed osteopontin-derived peptide fragments to increase cell attachment to a material. In addition, one is clearly enabled to test for the ability of the claimed peptide fragments to bind to integrin receptors on the surface of any cell type.

45049567v1

CMCC 779 8856/00047

For the foregoing reasons, Appellants submit that claims 1-6 are enabled.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrea L. Pabst Reg. No. 31,284

Date: December 2, 2004
PABST PATENT GROUP LLP
400 Colony Square, Suite 1200
1201 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30361
(404) 879-2151
(404) 879-2160 (Facsimile)